

CHAIR EXPERIENCES 2011-2014

HUSPO, Seminar on the Implementation of the UNECE
Espoo Convention, its Protocol on SEA and the Bukarest
Agreement

Good practice and challenges in transboundary EIA and
SEA

Vesna Kolar Planinsic, Msc.

Implementation Committee Chair 2011-2014

Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning,
Republic of Slovenia



Meeting of the parties to the Convention on Environmental Impact assessment in a transboundary context

- IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE was established by the MOP 2001, Decision II of the Second meeting of the Parties, revised III/2, which provides the Structure and function of the Implementation Committee,
- Review of Compliance, reviews of implementation and work done by Implementation Committee
- ECE/MP.EIA/2014/4 ECE/MP.EIA/SEA/2014/4, 24 march 2014



ACTIVITIES

- MEMBERSHIP
- FOLLOW UP TO DECISION V/4
- Ukraine
- Armenia
- Romania
- Azerbaijan

Examination of the outcome of the Third Review of Implementation

- General Compliance issues
- Specific compliance issues
- SUBMISSION BY PARTIES
 - A. By Azerbaijan regarding Armenia
 - B. By Lithuania regarding Belarus
 - C. By Armenia regarding Azerbaijan

Information from other sources

- Regarding Belarus
- Regarding Ukraine
- Regarding Romania
- Regarding Lithuania
- Regarding Ukraine
- Regarding Azerbaijan
- Regarding the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- Regarding Ukraine



Committee initiatives

- A. Regarding Albania
 - B. Regarding Ukraine
 - C. Regarding the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
-
- Revision of Questionnaires
 - Review of implementation
 - Structure and functions and operating rules



Dialog with Compliance bodies

- Aarhus convention and other UNECE conventions
- Added value, exchange of information
- IC
- Assistance oriented body
- Analyses, findings and recommendation
- Between two MOP



Main challenges

- Detail analyses and quick recommendation could help the parties in avoiding non compliance issues at the MOP
- Finding and recommendation are send to both parties for comments , but never all comments could be taken into accout
- Focus on procedural, but also some substantive issues like Annex II context, minimum requirements for documentation
- Detail explanation to the Parties , before they turn from defense to an action present effective method



Main challenges

- NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS
- EXTENSIONS OF LIFE TIME FOR OLD NUCKLEAR POWER PLANTS
- POST PROJECT ANALYSES



For ESPOO cooperation between the parties !

THANK
YOU